ANNUAL SYNAR REPORT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26

OMB № 0930-0222

FFY 2009 State: Alabama

Table of Contents

Introduction	i
FFY 2009: Funding Agreements/Certifications	1
Section I: FFY 2008 (Compliance Progress)	2
Section II: FFY 2009 (Intended Use)	8
Appendix A: Forms 1–5	9
Appendices B & C: Forms	16
Appendix B: Synar Survey Sampling Methodology	17
Appendix C: Synar Survey Inspection Protocol	19
Appendix D: List Sampling Frame Coverage Study	21

INTRODUCTION

The Annual Synar Report (ASR) format provides the means for States to comply with the reporting provisions of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300x-26) and the Tobacco Regulation for the SAPT Block Grant (45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e)).

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 15 hours for Section I and 3 hours for Section II, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information, searching existing data sources, and gathering and maintaining the data needed. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer; Paperwork Reduction Project; 1 Choke Cherry Road, 7th Floor Rockville, Maryland 20857.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0930-0222 with an expiration date of 10-31-2010.

How the Synar report helps the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

In accordance with the tobacco regulations, States are required to provide detailed information on progress made in enforcing youth tobacco access laws (FFY 2008 Compliance Progress) and future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access rates (FFY 2009 Intended Use Plan). These data are required by 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and will be used by the Secretary to evaluate State compliance with the statute. Part of the mission of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) is to assist States1 by supporting Synar activities and providing technical assistance helpful in determining the type of enforcement measures and control strategies that are most effective. This information is helpful to CSAP in improving technical assistance resources and expertise on enforcement efforts and tobacco control program support activities, including State Synar Program support services, through an enhanced technical assistance program involving conferences and workshops, development of training materials and guidance documents, and on-site technical assistance consultation.

How the Synar report can help States

The information gathered for the Synar report can help States describe and analyze sub-State needs for program enhancements. These data can also be used to report to the State legislature and other State and local organizations on progress made to date in enforcing youth tobacco access laws when aggregated statistical data from State Synar reports can demonstrate to the Secretary the national progress in reducing youth tobacco access problems. This information will also provide Congress with a better understanding of State progress in implementing Synar, including State difficulties and successes in enforcing retailer compliance with youth tobacco access laws.

¹The term State is used to refer to all the States and territories required to comply with Synar as part of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant Program requirements (42 U.S.C. 300x-64 and 45 C.F.R. 96.121).

Getting assistance in completing the Synar report

If you have questions about programmatic issues, you may call CSAP's Division of State Programs at (240) 276-2413 and ask for your respective State Project Officer, or contact your State Project Officer directly by telephone or e-mail using the directory provided in the FY 2009 Uniform Application, Appendix A. If you have questions about fiscal or grants management issues, you may call the Grants Management Officer, Office of Program Services, Division of Grants Management, at (240) 276-1422.

Where and when to submit the Synar report

The Annual Synar Report (ASR) must be received by SAMHSA no later than December 31, 2008. The ASR must be submitted in the **approved OMB report format**. Use of the approved format will avoid delays in the review and approval process. The chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization must sign page 1 of the ASR certifying that the State has complied with all reporting requirements.

The State must upload one copy of the report using the online Web BGAS (Block Grant Application System). States that use the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) must also upload one copy of SSES Tables 1-5 (in Excel) to Web BGAS. States that do not use SSES must upload one copy of ASR Forms 1, 4 and 5, and Forms 2 and 3, if applicable, (in Excel format) to Web BGAS. Instructions on how to access the Web BGAS system are included in the attached cover letter.

Additionally, the State must submit one signed original of the report (including the signed Funding Agreements/Certifications), as well as one additional copy of the signed Funding Agreements/Certifications, to the Grants Management Officer at the address below:

Ms. Barbara Orlando
Grants Management Officer
Office of Program Services
Division of Grants Management
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Regular Mail:

Overnight Mail:

1 Choke Cherry Road, Rm.7-1091 Rockville, Maryland 20857 1 Choke Cherry Road, Rm.7-1091 Rockville, Maryland 20850

FFY 2009: FUNDING AGREEMENTS/CERTIFICATIONS

The following form must be signed by the Chief Executive Officer or an authorized designee and submitted with this application. Documentation authorizing a designee must be attached to the application.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES ACT AND SYNAR AMMENDMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires each State to submit an annual report of its progress in meeting the requirements of the Synar Amendment and its implementing regulation (45 C.F.R. 96.130) to the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. By signing below, the chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization certifies that the State has complied with these reporting requirements and the certifications as set forth below.

SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The State certifies that the Synar survey sampling methodology on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2009 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

The State certifies that the Synar Survey Inspection Protocol on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2009 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

State: Alabama	
Name of Chief Executive Officer o	or Designee:
Signature of CEO or Designee:	
Title:	Date Signed:
If signed by a des	ignee, a copy of the designation must be attached.

FFY: 2009	State: Alabama
	Date: 2008

SECTION I: FFY 2008 (Compliance Progress)

YOUTH ACCESS LAWS, ACTIVITIES, AND ENFORCEMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires the States to report information regarding the sale/distribution of tobacco products to individuals under age 18.

1. Please indicate any changes or additions to the State tobacco statute(s) relating to you access since the last reporting year. Please attach a photocopy of the change(s) in the State law(s) if any was made since the last reporting year (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26).							
	a.	Has there been a change in the minimum sale age for tobacco products?					
		☐ Yes ⊠ No					
		If Yes, current minimum age: 19 20 21					
	b.	Have there been any changes in State law that impact the State's protocol for conducting Synar inspections? \square Yes \boxtimes No					
		If Yes, indicate change. (Check all that apply.)					
		☐ Changed to require that law enforcement conduct inspections of tobacco outlets ☐ Changed to make it illegal for youth to possess, purchase or receive tobacco ☐ Changed to require ID to purchase tobacco					
		Other change(s) (Please describe.)					
	c.	Have there been any changes in the law concerning vending machines?					
		☐ Yes ⊠ No					
		If Yes, indicate change. (Check all that apply.)					
		☐ Total ban enacted					
		Banned from location(s) accessible to youth					
		Locking device or supervision required					
		Uther change(s) (Please describe.)					
	d.	Have there been any changes in State law that impact the following?					
		Licensing of tobacco vendors					
		Penalties for sales to minors \square Yes \boxtimes No					
2.	42 U.S.C	e how the Annual Synar Report (see 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e)) and the State Plan (see C. 300x-51) were made public within the State prior to submission of the ASR.					
	(Check a	all that apply.)					
		Placed on file for public review Posted on a State agency Web site (Plages provide exact Web address)					
		Posted on a State agency Web site (<i>Please provide exact Web address.</i>) p://adph.org/tobacco/Default.asp?id=789					

		Notice published in a newspaper or newsletter
		Public hearing Announced in a news release, a press conference, or discussed in a media interview
		Distributed for review as part of the SAPT Block Grant application process
		Distributed for review as part of the SATT Block Grant application process Distributed through the public library system
		Published in an annual register
		Other change(s) (Please describe.)
3.	Identify	the following agency or agencies (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130).
	a.	The State agency(ies) designated by the Governor for oversight of the Synar requirements:
		Alabama Department of Mental Health
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
	b.	The State agency(ies) responsible for conducting random, unannounced Synar inspections:
		Alabama ABC Enforcement Agency
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
	c.	The State agency(ies) responsible for enforcing youth tobacco access law(s):
		Alabama ABC Enforcement Agency
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
4.	Identify	the State agency(ies) responsible for tobacco prevention activities.
	Alabama of Menta	a Department of Public Health, ABC Merchant Education, and Alabama Department al Health
	Цо	s the responsible agency changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?
	Ш	Yes No
	a.	Describe the coordination and collaboration that occur between the agency responsible for tobacco prevention and the agency responsible for oversight of the Synar requirements. (Check all that apply.) The two agencies
		Are the same
		Have a formal written memorandum of agreement
		Have an informal partnership
		Conduct joint planning activities
		Combine resources
		Have other collaborative arrangement(s) (<i>Please describe</i> .) These agencies
		have worked as a task force on these issues since 1994. This collaboration is
		conducted by agency staff employees and continues, regardless of political turn- over.

	 a. Which one of the following describes the enforcement of youth access to tobacco laws carried out in your State? (Check one category only.) Enforcement is conducted exclusively by local law enforcement agencies. Enforcement is conducted exclusively by State agency(ies). Enforcement is conducted by both local and State agencies. 									
	b. The following items concern pena tobacco laws by <u>LOCAL AND/OF</u> <u>AGENCIES.</u> Please fill in the nun for tobacco retailer license/permit mark "NA."	R STATE LAW EN aber requested. If S	NFORCEMEN State law does	NT not provide						
	PENALTY	OWNERS	CLERKS	TOTAL						
	Number of citations issued	UNK	UNK	63						
	Number of fines assessed	UNK	UNK	UNK						
	Number of permits/licenses suspended	0	0							
	Number of permits/licenses revoked	0		0						
	Other (Please describe.)									
	 c. What additional activities are conducted in your State to support enforcement and compliance with State tobacco access law(s)? (Check all that apply.) Merchant education and/or training Incentives for merchants who are in compliance (e.g., reward and reminder) Community education regarding youth access laws Media use to publicize compliance inspection results Community mobilization to increase support for retailer compliance with youth access laws Other activities (Please list.) 									
	☐ Community education regarding☐ Media use to publicize complian☐ Community mobilization to increaccess laws	youth access laws ace inspection result	s							
	☐ Community education regarding☐ Media use to publicize complian☐ Community mobilization to increaccess laws	youth access laws ace inspection result ease support for reta	s							

includes retailers, enforcement, prevention, and public health. The Retailer campaign recognizes the clerks that successful complete a compliance check and offers them the opportunity to win a cruise. This is a partnership with nontraditional stakeholders, MADD, ABC Board, retailer associations, and WeCard. All alcohol sales prevention materials are offered at no charge to retailers including tobacco information also. The annual synar report is posted on the Website of the department of public health. The tobacco control program funds 16 community mini-grants that assess the quantity of tobacco advertisement in stores and also encourage placement of tobacco products behind the counter.

d	. Are citations or warnings issued to retailers or clerks who sell tobacco to minors for inspections that are part of the Synar survey? Yes No
	If "Yes" to 5d, please describe the State's procedure for minimizing risk of bias to the survey results:
	Alabama has no reason to believe there is any bias during our SYNAR inspections with enforcement issuing warning while conducting Synar inspections. Our inspections are random within the districts and our state is very rural. Therefore, contact between various outlets is minimized. Our synar numbers decrease as our non-synar compliance checks increase. Alabama completes over 2,500, non-synar compliance checks every year in addition to our synar checks. We feel continuing to increase our compliance checks in conjunction with merchant education through the responsible vendor program is essential in reducing youth access to tobacco products.
	SYNAR SURVEY METHODS AND RESULTS
by the Stat	ring questions pertain to the survey methodology and results of the Synar survey used e to meet the requirements of the Synar Regulation in FFY 2008 (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-C.F.R. 96.130).
6. Has th	e sampling methodology changed from the previous year? Yes No
method Method	the is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar sampling dology on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Sampling dology (Appendix B). If the sampling methodology changed from the previous ng year, these changes must be reflected in the methodology submitted.
	answer the following questions regarding the State's annual random, ounced inspections of tobacco outlets (see 45 C.F.R. $96.130(d)(2)$).
a	. Did the State use the optional Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data? ⊠ Yes ☐ No
	If Yes , attach SSES summary tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 along with the ASR submission and go to Question 8. If No , continue to Question 7b.
b	. Report the weighted and unweighted Retailer Violation Rate (RVR) estimates, and the standard error.
	Unweighted RVR
	Weighted RVR
	Standard error (s.e.) of the (weighted) RVR
	Fill in the blanks to calculate the <u>right limit</u> of the right-sided 95% confidence interval.

c.	Fill out Form 1 in Appendix A (Forms). (Required regardless of a design.)	the s	ample			
d.	How were the (weighted) RVR estimate and its standard error of (Check the one that applies.)	obta	ined?			
	☐ Form 2 (Optional) in Appendix A (Forms) (Attach completed Form Other (Please specify. Provide formulae and calculations or attach the program code and output with description of all variable na	ich d	and expl			
e.	If stratification was used, did any strata in the sample contain o or cluster this year? Yes No No stratification	nly	one out			
	If Yes, explain how this situation was dealt with in variance estimat	ion.				
f.	Was a cluster sample design used? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
••	If Yes , fill out and attach Form 3 in Appendix A (Forms 1–5), and answer the following question.					
	following question.					
	If No, go to Question 7g.					
			Yes 🗌			
	If No, go to Question 7g.					
	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year?					
g.	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year?					
g.	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year? If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance	re es				
F	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year? If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance	re es	timatior			
H r	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year? If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance. Report the following outlet sample sizes for the Synar survey. Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision	re es	timation			
1 1 e	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year? If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance. Report the following outlet sample sizes for the Synar survey. Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision requirement assuming simple random sampling) Farget sample size (the product of the effective sample size and the design	re es	timatior			
H rr G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	If No, go to Question 7g. Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year? If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance. Report the following outlet sample sizes for the Synar survey. Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision requirement assuming simple random sampling) Farget sample size (the product of the effective sample size and the design effect) Original sample size (inflated sample size of the target sample to counter the	re es	timatior			

If Yes, answer the following questions about its coverage.

	a.	The calendar year of the latest frame coverage study: <u>2007</u>
	b.	Percent coverage from the latest frame coverage study: 87.5%
	c.	Was a new study conducted in this reporting period? \square Yes \boxtimes No
		If Yes , please complete Appendix D (List Sampling Frame Coverage Study) and submit it with the Annual Synar Report.
	d.	The calendar year of the next coverage study planned: 2010
9.	Has the	Synar survey inspection protocol changed from the previous year?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	protocol (Append	e is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar inspection on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (ix C). If the inspection protocol changed from the previous year, these changes must sted in the protocol submitted.
	a.	Provide the inspection period: From 10/01/07 To 05/31/08 MM/DD/YY
	b.	Provide the number of youth inspectors used in the current inspection year:
		<u>34</u>
		NOTE: If the State uses SSES, please ensure that the number reported in 9b matches that reported in SSES Table 4, or explain any difference.

c. Fill out and attach Form 5 in Appendix A (Forms 1–5). (Not required if the State used the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data.)

SECTION II: FFY 2009 (Intended Use):

Public law 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 of the Public Health Service Act and 45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e) (4, 5) require that the States provide information on future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access.

1.	In the upcoming year, does the State anticipate any changes in the:
	Synar sampling methodology
	Synar inspection protocol \square Yes \boxtimes No
	If changes are made in either the Synar sampling methodology or the Synar inspection protocol, the State is required to obtain approval from CSAP prior to implementation of the change and file an updated Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B) or an updated Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C), as appropriate.
2.	Please describe the State's plans to maintain and/or reduce the target rate for Synar inspections to be completed in FFY 2009. Include a brief description of plans for law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, activities that support law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, and any anticipated changes in youth tobacco access legislation or regulation in the State.
	Alabama has maintained a fairly low retailer violation rate over the past few years using a fairly simple formula, which seems to be effective. The main component of this plan is to conduct a substantial number of additional non-Synar compliance checks. Coupled with these checks is a robust merchant education component. This together, along with the Alabama Department of Public Health's area staff with local coalitions seems to be an effective strategy to maintain low non-compliance rates.
3.	Describe any challenges the State faces in complying with the Synar regulation. (Check all that apply.)
	☐ Limited resources for law enforcement of youth access laws
	Limited resources for activities to support enforcement and compliance with youth tobacco access laws
	Limitations in the State youth tobacco access laws
	Limited public support for enforcement of youth tobacco access laws
	Limitations on completeness/accuracy of list of tobacco outlets
	Limited expertise in survey methodology
	Laws/regulations limiting the use of minors in tobacco inspections
	Difficulties recruiting youth inspectors
	Geographic, demographic, and logistical considerations in conducting inspections
	Cultural factors (e.g., language barriers, young people purchasing for their elders)
	☐ Issues regarding sources of tobacco under tribal jurisdiction☐ Other challenges (<i>Please list.</i>)
	Briefly describe all checked challenges and propose a plan for each, or indicate the

State's need for TA related to each relevant challenge.

The base of all the challenges is limited financial resources. The ABC enforcement division runs on a bare bones budget. This makes their job difficult. Considering their prominent role in Alabama's Synar Project, these limited financial resources are and will continue to be a major challenge for the state with these record breaking fuel costs.

APPENDIX A: FORMS 1–5

FORM 1 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 1 to report sampling frame and sample information and to calculate the unweighted retailer violation rate using results from the current year's Synar survey inspections.

Instructions for Completing Form 1: In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2009). Provide the remaining information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

- Column 1: If stratification was used:
 - 1(a) Sequentially number each row.
 - 1(b) Write in the name of each stratum. All strata in the State must be listed.

If no stratification was used:

- 1(a) Leave blank.
- 1(b) Write "State" in the first row (indicates that the whole state is a single stratum).

Note for unstratified samples: for columns 2-5, wherever the instruction refers to "each stratum," report the specified information for the State as a whole.

- Column 2: 2(a) Report the number of over-the-counter (OTC) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(b) Report the number of vending machine (VM) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
- Column 3: 3(a) Report the estimated number of eligible OTC outlets in the OTC outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(b) Report the estimated number of eligible VM outlets in the VM outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(c) Report the combined total estimated number of eligible OTC and VM outlets in the total outlet population in each stratum.

The estimates for Column 3 can be obtained from the Synar survey sample as the weighted sum of eligible outlets by outlet type.

- Column 4: 4(a) Report the number of eligible OTC outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(b) Report the numbers of eligible VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(c) Report the combined total of eligible OTC and VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
- Column 5: 5(a) Report the number of OTC outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(b) Report the number of VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
- Totals: For each sub-column (a-c) in Columns 2-5, provide totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table. These numbers will be the sum of the numbers in each row for the respective column.

FORM 1 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data.)

	Summary of Synar Inspection Results by Stratum State: FFY: 2009												
(1)		(2)			(3)			(4)			(5)	
STRATUM		NUMBER OF OUTLETS IN SAMPLING FRAME		ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE OUTLETS IN POPULATION		ETS IN	NUMBER OF OUTLETS INSPECTED		NO. OF OUTLETS FOUND IN VIOLATION DURING INSPECTIONS		RING		
(a) Row#	(b) Stratum Name	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (2a+2b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (3a+3b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (4a+4b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (5a+5b)

RECORD COLUMN TOTALS ON LAST LINE (LAST PAGE ONLY IF MULTIPLE PAGES ARE NEEDED).

FORM 2 (Optional)

Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

Complete Form 2 to calculate the weighted RVR. This table (in Excel form) is designed to calculate the weighted RVR for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs, accounting for ineligible outlets and non-complete inspections encountered during the annual Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 2: In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2009).

- Column 1: Write in the name of each stratum into which the sample was divided. These should match the strata reported in Column 1(b) of Form 1.
- Column 2: Report the number of outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum. These numbers should match the numbers reported for the respective strata in Column 2(c) of Form 1.
- Column 3: Report the original sample size (the number of outlets originally selected, <u>including</u> substitutes or replacements) for each stratum.
- Column 4: Report the number of sample outlets in each stratum that were found to be eligible during the inspections. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 3 for the respective strata.
- Column 5: Report the number of eligible outlets in each stratum for which an inspection was completed. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 4. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 4(c) of Form 1 for the respective strata.
- Column 6: Report the number of eligible outlets inspected in each stratum that were found in violation. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 5(c) of Form 1 for the stratum.
- Column 7: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the stratum RVR for each stratum in this column. This is calculated by dividing the number of inspected eligible outlets found in violation (Column 6) by the number of inspected eligible outlets (Column 5). The State unweighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 7.
- Column 8: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum. This calculation is made by multiplying the number of outlets in the sampling frame (Column 2) times the number of eligible outlets (Column 4) divided by the original sample size (Column 3). Note that these numbers will be less than or equal to the numbers in Column 2.
- Column 9: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the relative stratum weight by dividing the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum in Column 8 by the Total of the values in Column 8.
- Column 10: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate each stratum's contribution to the State weighted RVR by multiplying the stratum RVR (Column 7) by the relative stratum weight (Column 9). The weighted RVR for the State will be shown in the Total row of Column 10.
- Column 11: Form 2 (in Excel form) automatically calculates the standard error of each stratum's RVR (Column 7). The standard error for the State weighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 11.
- TOTAL: For Columns 2-6, Form 2 (in Excel form) provides totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table. For Columns 7-11, it calculates the respective statistic for the State as a whole.

FORM 2 (Optional) Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

Calculation of Weighted Retailer Violation Rate State: FFY: 2009 (4) (8) (10)(2) N'=N(n1/n)n1 (7) (9) pw Ν Number of (5) p=x/n2Estimated w=N'/Total Stratum (11)(6)Number of n2 Stratum Number of (3) Sample Column 8 Contribution s.e. Outlets Outlets Number of Number of Retailer Eligible Relative to State Standard (1) in Sampling Outlets in Stratum Original Found Outlets Outlets Found Violation Stratum Weighted Error of Population Name Frame Sample Size Eligible Inspected in Violation Rate Weight RVR Stratum RVR **Total**

N - number of outlets in sampling frame

n - original sample size (number of outlets in the original sample)

n1 - number of sample outlets that were found to be eligible

n2 - number of eligible outlets that were inspected

x - number of inspected outlets that were found in violation

p - stratum retailer violation rate (p=x/n2)

N' - estimated number of eligible outlets in population (N'=N*n1/n)

w - relative stratum weight (w=N'/Total Column 8)

pw - stratum contribution to the weighted retailer violation rate

s.e. - standard error of the stratum RVR

FORM 3 (Required when a cluster design is used for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data.)

Complete Form 3 to report information about primary sampling units when a cluster design was used for the Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 3: In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2009).

Provide information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

Column 1: Sequentially number each row.

Column 2: If stratification was used: Write in the name of stratum. All strata in the State must be

listed.

If no stratification was used: Write "State" in the first row to indicate that the whole

state constitutes a single stratum.

Column 3: Report the number of primary sampling units (PSUs) (i.e., first-stage clusters) created for

each stratum.

Column 4: Report the number of PSUs selected in the original sample for each stratum.

Column 5: Report the number of PSUs in the final sample for each stratum.

TOTALS: For Columns 3-5, provide totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table.

Summary of Clusters Created and Sampled State: FFY: 2009								
(1) Row#	(2) Stratum Name	(3) Number of PSUs Created	(4) Number of PSUs Selected	(5) Number of PSUs in the Final Sample				
	Total							

FORM 4 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 4 to provide detailed tallies of ineligible sample outlets by reasons for ineligibility and detailed tallies of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reasons for noncompletion.

Instructions for Completing Form 4:

In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2009).

Column 1(a): Enter the number of sample outlets found ineligible for inspection by reason for ineligibility. Provide the total number of ineligible outlets in the row marked "**Total.**"

Column 2(a): Enter the number of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reason for noncompletion. Provide the total number of eligible outlets with noncomplete inspections in the row marked "**Total.**"

Inspection Tallies by Reason of Ineligibility or Noncompletion			
	State:		
		FFY: 2009	
(4)		(2)	
(1) INELIGIBLE		(2) ELIGIBLE	
Reason for Ineligibility (a) Counts		Reason for Noncompletion	(a) Counts
Out of business		In operation but closed at time of visit	
Does not sell tobacco products		Unsafe to access	
Inaccessible by youth		Presence of police	
Private club or private residence		Youth inspector knows salesperson	
Temporary closure		Moved to new location	
Unlocatable		Drive thru only/youth inspector has no driver's license	
Wholesale only/Carton sale only		Tobacco out of stock	
Vending machine broken		Run out of time	
Duplicate		Other noncompletion reason(s) (Describe.)	
Other ineligibility reason(s) (Describe.)			
Total		Total	

FORM 5 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data)

Complete Form 5 to show the distribution of outlet inspection results by age and gender of the youth inspectors.

Instructions for Completing Form 5: In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2009).

Column 1: Enter the number of attempted buys by youth inspector age and gender.

Column 2: Enter the number of successful buys by youth inspector age and gender.

If the inspectors are age eligible but the gender of the inspector is unknown, include those inspections in the "OTHER" row. Calculate subtotals for males and females in rows marked SUBTOTALS. Sum SUBTOTALS for male, female, and OTHER and record in the bottom row marked TOTAL. Verify that that the TOTAL of attempted buys and successful buys equal the total for Column 4(c) and Column 5(c), respectively, on Form 1. If the totals do not match, please explain any discrepancies.

	Synar Survey Inspector Characteristics		
		State:	
		FFY: 2009	
	(1) Attempted Buys	(2) Successful Buys	
Male			
15 years			
16 years			
17 years			
18 years			
Male Subtotal			
Female			
15 years			
16 years			
17 years			
18 years			
Female Subtotal			
Other			
Total			

APPENDICES B & C: FORMS

Instructions

Appendix B (Sampling Design) and Appendix C (Inspection Protocol) are to reflect the State's CSAP-approved sampling design and inspection protocol. These appendices, therefore, should generally describe the design and protocol and are not to be modified with year-specific information. Please note that any changes to either appendix must receive CSAP's advance written approval. To facilitate the State's completion of this section, simply "cut and paste" the previously approved sampling design (Appendix B) and inspection protocol (Appendix C).

APPENDIX B: SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

				: Alabama 2009
1.	What type of sa	mpling f	rame is used?	
	List fran	me (Go to	o Question 2.)	
	☐ Area fra	ame (Go	to Question 3.)	
	☐ List-assisted area frame (Go to Question 2.)			
2.	a brief description including how in how often the list. Use the correspondular 1 – Statewide 2 – Local communication in the state of	ion of the new outle sts are u conding nu commercial mercial bu		are updated (method), me. In addition, explain question, go to Question 4.) below. nse/permit list
Nam	e of Frame Source	Type of Source	Description	Updating Method and Cycle
obacco License List 3		3	The Tobacco List is maintained by the ABC Agency	This list is continually maintained, with the list frame created in September
3.	a. Is any	area left	out in the formation of the area fram	ne?
	<i>If Yes</i> , \		centage of the State's population is not	coverea by the area frame?
4.			ires that vending machines be inspect chines included in the Synar survey?	
	If No , pleas	se indica	te the reason they are not included in th	ne Synar survey.
	State la	w bans v	ending machines	
	State la	w bans v	ending machines from locations access	ible to youth
		as SAME Please de	ISA approval to exempt vending machinescribe.)	nes from the survey

Э.	vv men e	rategory below best describes the sample design: (Check only one.)
		Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete.)
	Un	stratified State-wide sample:
		Simple random sample (Go to Question 9.)
		Systematic random sample (Go to Question 6.)
		Single-stage cluster sample (Go to Question 8.)
		Multi-stage cluster sample (Go to Question 8.)
	Str	ratified sample:
	\boxtimes	Simple random sample (Go to Question 7.)
		Systematic random sample (Go to Question 6.)
		Single-stage cluster sample (Go to Question 7.)
		Multi-stage cluster sample (Go to Question 7.)
		Other (Please describe and go to Question 9.)
6.		e the systematic sampling methods. (After completing Question 6, go to Question 7 ication is used. Otherwise go to Question 9.)
7.		the following information about stratification. Provide a full description of the strata that are created.
		The OTC frame is stratified into 11 ABC enforcement districts, while the vending machine is a simple random sample
	b.	Is clustering used within the stratified sample?
		Yes (Go to Question 8.)
		No (Go to Question 9.)
8.	Provide	the following information about clustering.
	a.	Provide a full description of how clusters are formed. (If multi-stage clusters are used, give definitions of clusters at each stage.)
	b.	Specify the sampling method (simple random, systematic, or probability proportional to size sampling) for each stage of sampling and describe how the method(s) is (are) implemented.

9. Provide the formulae for determining the effective, target, and original outlet sample sizes.

Effective sample size:

$$n_e = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{(0.0182)^2}{P(1-P)} + \frac{1}{N}\right)}.$$

where *P* is the violation rate set at 0.2,

0.0182 is the standard error of the estimate, and

N is the total number of outlets in the population.

Target sample size = Effective sample size * Design effect (deff) from the previous year's survey

$$n_t = n_e * deff$$

The original sample size is determined by inflating the target sample size by the expected completion and eligibility (accuracy) rates. Due to greatly differing completion and eligibility rates of OTC versus VM, Alabama divides the target sample size proportionately prior to incorporating the eligibility and completion rates into the original sample size calculations. The original sample size can be written as:

$$n_o = \frac{n_t \left(\frac{N_{OTC}}{N}\right)}{r_{OTC}} + \frac{n_t \left(\frac{N_{VM}}{N}\right)}{r_{VM}},$$

where N_{OTC} is the number of over-the-counter outlets in the population,

 N_{VM} is the number of vending machines in the population,

 r_{OTC} is the completion rate*accuracy rate for over-the-counter outlet inspections, and

 r_{VM} is the completion rate*accuracy for vending machine inspections

The eligibility and completion rates from the previous year's survey are used to calculate the original sample size.

APPENDIX C: SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

			State: Alabama				
			FFY: 2009				
No	te: Attaci	h a copy of the inspection form and protocol t	used to record the inspection result.				
1.	How do	loes the State Synar survey protocol address the following?					
	a.	Consummated buy attempts?					
		⊠ Required	Not Permitted				
		Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol				
	b.	Youth inspectors to carry ID?					
		⊠ Required	Not Permitted				
		Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol				
	c.	Adult inspectors to enter the outlet?					
		Required	Not Permitted				
		Permitted under specified circumstances	Not specified in protocol				
	d.	Youth inspectors to be compensated?					
		Required	Not Permitted				
		Permitted under specified circumstances	Not specified in protocol				
2.	-	the agency(ies) or entity(ies) that actually conspections of tobacco outlets. (Check all that					
	\boxtimes	Law enforcement agency(ies)					
		State or local government agency(ies) other the	nan law enforcement				
		Private contractor(s)					
		Other					
	Lis	st the agency name(s): ABC					
3.	issue wa inspecti	<u> </u>	ation of the law at the time of the				
	\bowtie	Always Usually Sometimes Rai	ely Never				

4.	Describe the methods used to recruit, select, and train youth inspectors and adult supervisors.		
	Commu	nity, schools, an	d civic contacts, MADD referrals
5.		1	or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address ectors' immunity when conducting inspections?
	a.	Legal	Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)
Covered by the Alabama Supreme Court decision and AB		Covered by the	Alabama Supreme Court decision and ABC policy
	b.	Procedural	Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)
		Covered by AI	BC policy and protocol
	the issue process?	e of the safety o ? Legal	or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address of youth inspectors during all aspects of the Synar inspection Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)
		Covered by AI	BC policy and protocol
	b.	Procedural	Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)
		Covered by AI	3C protocol
7.	inspecti		gal or procedural requirements the State has regarding how onducted (e.g., age of youth inspector, time of inspections, ur)?
	41 44111118	, that mast occi	 -/•
		Legal	Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)
		Legal	· <u> </u>
	a.	Legal	Yes No (If Yes, please describe.)

APPENDIX D: LIST SAMPLING FRAME COVERAGE STUDY

(LIST FRAME ONLY)

State: Alabama

FFY: 2009

1. Calendar year of the coverage study: 2007

2. Percent coverage found: 87.5%

(Provide calculation of the percent coverage.)

The coverage rate was calculated as the ratio of the total number (b=126) of matched outlets on the frame divided by the total number (n=144) of outlets found by the coverage study (coverage rate= $100 \times (b/n) = 100 \times (126/144) = 87.5\%$).

3. Provide a description of the coverage study methods and results.

Coverage Study Report

Introduction

Under the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) block grant Synar requirement, states must conduct annual unannounced random inspections of tobacco retailers to determine the compliance rate with laws prohibiting the sale of tobacco products to persons under the age of 18. To fulfill the Synar requirement, states conduct a Synar survey each year by inspecting a random sample of tobacco retail outlets and estimating the overall state retailer violation rate (RVR) based on the results of the inspections from the sampled outlets.

Purpose

The coverage study is designed to assess the completeness of the tobacco retailers' list that is used to select a sample of outlets throughout the state for Synar compliance checks.

Sample Design for the Coverage Study

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board (ABC Board) has divided Alabama into 11 enforcement districts. Six of the 11 enforcement districts are rural, defined as having less than 300,000 people in an enforcement district, and five are urban. Four enforcement districts (2 rural and 2

urban) were randomly selected for the coverage study. Within the four enforcement districts, 20 census tracts located in 6 counties were randomly selected for canvassing.

Coverage Study Field Procedures

Staff members canvassed assigned census tracts in pairs to identify tobacco outlets that were accessible to minors. The canvassing was done without the assistance of the existing list used for the Synar inspections that is maintained by the ABC Board.

- Maps were obtained from the Department of Transportation. The maps were used to guide staff members throughout the canvassing area and to identify the boundaries of the census tracts.
- 2. Staff members entered retail outlets in pairs to verify the availability of tobacco products. Using a canvassing inspection form, staff members recorded information about each outlet including name, address, telephone number, tobacco license number, vending machine presence, singles/loosies visible, and any other notable observations.
- 3. When canvassing was completed, staff members reviewed the map to make sure that all streets in the census tract were covered.
- Inspection forms were submitted for each retail outlet identified within each census
 tract and were matched to the tobacco retail outlet list used for Synar inspections
 that is maintained by the ABC Board.

Coverage Rate

The retail outlets identified during the coverage study were compared to the tobacco retailers list used for Synar by the ABC Board. The coverage study identified 144 retail outlets. Outlets that were identified during the canvassing but did not have a corresponding license number on the ABC list were considered to be not found on the list. There were 4 outlets identified during our coverage study that were not found on the ABC list. When a license number match was found, but the name or address did not match, these outlets were considered to be not matched to ABC list. The ABC Board policy requires outlets to obtain a new permit within 30 days of name

change, ownership change, or address change. There were 14 outlets identified during our coverage study that had different names and/or addresses than the ABC list. While some of these outlets may have had valid license numbers, we decided to be conservative and declare that these outlets did not match the ABC list. Overall, there were 18 retail outlets (4 not found, 14 not matched) that did not correspond to the list maintained by the ABC Board (Table 1).

The coverage rate was calculated as the ratio of the total number (b=126) of matched outlets on the frame divided by the total number (n=144) of outlets found by the coverage study (coverage rate= $100 \times (b/n) = 100 \times (126/144) = 87.5\%$).